

---

## Consortium Mtg Open Issues/Action Items Report

---

Transaction: 834

HIPAA Consortium Meeting

---

State: AZ

---

<b>Issue/Action #</b>	A-834-00389	Correspondence	2-High	Consortium Membe	Open-Awaiting info from AZ
<b>Type:</b>	Send the Providers a letter explaining the changes necessary to AHCCCS IDs.	<b>Responsible Person:</b>	<b>Date Opened:</b>	<b>Date Due:</b>	<b>Date Completed:</b>
Action Item		Lori Petre	9/15/2004		
<b>Description:</b>	<b>Assigned to:</b> Dennis Koch				
HP – Are the Providers being educated about the change to AHCCCS IDs? There could be a Date of Service that is in the middle of this.... Lori – We will make sure that they put something out for the providers.					
<b>Resolution:</b>					

---

---

Wednesday, October 06, 2004

Page 1 of 4

---

## Consortium Mtg Open Issues/Action Items Report

---

Transaction: 837 Encounters (all)

HIPAA Consortium Meeting

---

State: AZ

---

<b>Issue/Action #</b>	A-837-00397	Infrastructure	2-High	Consortium Membe	Open-Awaiting info from AZ
<b>Type:</b>	DEA numbers on the providers line effective date	<b>Responsible Person:</b>	<b>Date Opened:</b>	<b>Date Due:</b>	<b>Date Completed:</b>
Action Item		Brent Ratterree	9/15/2004		
<b>Description:</b>	<b>Assigned to:</b> Brent Ratterree				
<p>CJ – One of the things we noticed looking at the DEA numbers on the providers line that we're concerned about is the actual formatting of the DEA number. We've noticed that some places where they use 2 letters and 6 or 7 digits and some places where there are 2 letters and a space and 6 or 7 digits, 2 letters and a dash. There are places out there where they have a leading zero. We're concerned about getting the stuff validated.</p> <p>Lori – I know in the documentation I saw one of the concerns was the cleanup and validation of that data.</p> <p>Mike – We did a complete dataquery. There will be a lot of cleanup.</p> <p>CJ – This is the part that's really getting to us. We may have misunderstood something. Is it going to be available for encounters based on the 7/1 date?</p> <p>Lori – He didn't give us a specific date cutoff. Once the change goes in, the field will be there to accommodate it.</p> <p>Shelli – We'll look into it and get back to you.</p>					
<b>Resolution:</b>					

---

---

Wednesday, October 06, 2004

Page 2 of 4

## Consortium Mtg Open Issues/Action Items Report

Transaction: 837 Encounters (all)

HIPAA Consortium Meeting

<b>Issue/Action #</b>	A-837-00390	Correspondence	2-High	Shelli Silver	Open-Awaiting info from AZ
<b>Type:</b>	Letter to Health Plans concerning firm cutoff date for proprietary files instead of	<b>Responsible Person:</b>	<b>Date Opened:</b>	<b>Date Due:</b>	<b>Date Completed:</b>
Action Item		Brent Ratterree	9/15/2004		
<b>Description:</b>	<b>Assigned to:</b> Brent Ratterree				
He gave a date of September 30, 2004 in order to let you know we will take the proprietary files through that date, but that may have been confusing. A date really has not been set, as yet. Definitely not 10/1 or maybe even 9/15. We are doing an assessment internally with ISD to inventory all the HIPAA 837 and NCPDP issues still open currently that are requesting system changes. We want to make sure the date we select is reasonable with ISD, that we will be able to get everything done that remains outstanding. We don't want to prematurely give you a date and then have to back that off again. The soonest we are looking at is 11/1, but no sooner than 10/1. We will communicate the date with you when we have all the information we need.					
<b>Resolution:</b>					

  

<b>Issue/Action #</b>	A-837-00379		2-High	Consortium Membe	Future SSR
<b>Type:</b>	Will the Master Carrier File include Dental TPL?	<b>Responsible Person:</b>	<b>Date Opened:</b>	<b>Date Due:</b>	<b>Date Completed:</b>
Action Item		Brent Ratterree	7/23/2004		9/16/2004
<b>Description:</b>	<b>Assigned to:</b> Brent Ratterree				
Q: On that Master Carrier file what type of fields will it include? What type of coverage? A: Again, its just going to be the medical. Some of this we are trying to set up for the future. Since we're building a new file, we'll have that set up for expansion at a later date.					
<b>Resolution:</b>					
No change at this point. Future Options.					
Original Message From: Ratterree, Brent Sent: Thursday, September 16, 2004 1:26 PM The Master Carrier file will initially only have medical coverage. At a later date, coverage may be expanded to include dental, vision, etc. For data elements in the master file, please refer to the TPL file layout from the July 20, 2004 HIPAA Consortium - TPL Validation Pilot Data Flow - presentation.					

Wednesday, October 06, 2004

Page 3 of 4

---

## Consortium Mtg Open Issues/Action Items Report

---

Transaction: NCPDP Enc.

HIPAA Consortium Meeting

---

State: AZ

<b>Issue/Action #</b>	A-NCP-00398		2-High	Consortium Membe	Open-Awaiting info from AZ
<b>Type:</b>	Email Health Plans revised NCPDP layout and dates for testing.	<b>Responsible Person:</b>	<b>Date Opened:</b>	<b>Date Due:</b>	<b>Date Completed:</b>
Action Item		Lori Petre	9/15/2004		
<b>Description:</b>	<b>Assigned to:</b> Lori Petre				
<p>Also in your package is another layout, one that is not in place yet. We will send out to you. Basically, some of the amount fields were not long enough. We did receive some problems from some plans, IPA, I believe. They had an amount field that was larger than what we were accommodating. We looked at the actual specifications for the layout and our fields were not long enough. The paragraph on the front explains the changes in the proposed layout. Basically, the amount field has been expanded and the new Prescriber ID qualifier field was added. Because this is a change, we are going to look at when we should roll this out. We will give you an opportunity to introduce this in your testing. I will get something out to you.</p> <p>Mary Kay is unfortunately out this week. This links back to the DEA number. The reason why we're adding the Prescriber ID type is to define whether it is the Medicaid or DEA ID number, which is important for how to map it across to Mike's mainframe, folks. We will get something back to you no later than early next week. I haven't sent this out electronically yet, except to the teleconference folk, because I needed to get them the package, and this is not posted as the current format on the website yet. I believe I got an assessment back from Mike's staff. They were validating all the field links. Early next week we will get you information on when we targeting getting this out to test.</p>					
<b>Resolution:</b>					

---

---

Wednesday, October 06, 2004

Page 4 of 4